

Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA
Public Person
2619 N Quality Ln
Suite 123
Fayetteville, AR 72703

Main 479 263-4795

September 13, 2010

Ex Parte via Electronic Filing & US Mail

Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: The Google Inc “Examination of the Future of Media and Information
Needs of Communities in a Digital Age, GN Dkt. No. 10-25”**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Google Inc. (“Google”) submitted comments to the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) in response to that agency’s Staff Discussion Draft about the future of journalism in the “age of the Internet”.

In the Google Inc comments responding to the FTC, Google Inc agreed that the Internet had posed challenges as well as provided opportunities for publishers and described how Google [e x t o r t s] publishers to find business solutions so journalism can thrive online while making roughly two hundred and ninety-four million dollars profit per day. The Google Inc thriving while mostly tax-exempt should not be allowed to continue. Google inadvertently “stirred a hornet nest” and Richard S. Whitt Esq. is likely to understand the rural idiom describing a small action that produces a great unpleasant result just as the rural idiom of “opening a can of worms” being from “Behind the Hedges” in Georgia. He is the author of a book about politics controlling unseen with the title “Behind the Hedges” available free from Google Inc books.

Google believes their comments to the FTC are relevant to the Federal Communications Commission’s (“FCC”) above-captioned inquiry, as does Mr Neeley. Google Inc submitted a copy of comments for the FCC’s consideration and Mr Neeley will submit another short comment to explain the twenty page comment from a DC Google Inc. division lawyer who should be very familiar to the FCC already as will be highlighted in the explanation several times case FCC personnel have somehow forgotten their previous TELECOMMUNICATIONS exposure to Mr Whitt Esq in court.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Mr Neeley by WIRE COMMUNICATIONS as Mr Neeley is unable to speak and adequately communicate his thoughts due to a severe traumatic brain injury. Please read paragraph number (51) on p8 of the Communications Act of 1934 and be familiar with the common English definition of APPARATUS and other normal words if you risk writing.

Semi-respectfully submitted
s/ Curtis J Neeley Jr. .
Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA